《人文及社會科學集刊》 第八卷第二期 (85/9), pp. 153-179 ©中央研究院中山人文社會科學研究所

Weber 區位模型一階段與二階段 分析之比較*

麥朝成** 黄 鴻***

^{*} 中央研究院經濟研究所林燕淑小姐閱畢全文,提出不少實責意見,謹誌謝忱。此外,作者亦 感謝評審先生之建議,使本文增色不少。

^{**} 中央研究院院士,中央研究院中山人文社會科學研究所研究員,臺灣大學經濟系教授

^{***} 中央研究院中山人文社會科學研究所研究員,臺灣大學經濟系教授 (收稿日期:1996年1月8日;接受刊登日期:1996年4月13日)

摘 要

一階段分析法與二階段分析法在廠商區位理論文獻中均曾被廣 泛地採用,不過就我們所知,尚不曾有人討論過這兩種分析法下廠 商最適區位之異同。本文利用 Weber 三角形模型發現當市場是雙佔 且產品屬策略性替代時,規模報酬遞增(遞減)廠商在二階段分析法 下之最適區位必然較一階段分析法接近 (遠離) 產品市場;如果產品 屬策略性互補,則其結果正好相反。但是當廠商之生產函數爲規模 報酬不變時,不論兩產品爲策略性互補或替代,此二分析法下之最 適廠商區位是一致的。

關鍵詞:韋氏區位;雙佔均衡。

大

膏、前言

貳、基本模型

冬、一階段分析與二階段分析法均衡值之比較

肆、結論

Comparison of Equilibrium Locations under One-stage and Two-stage Games in a Weberian Triangle Model with Duopolistic Market

Chao-cheng Mai* Hong Hwang**

Abstract

In the literature on industrial location, both one-stage and two-stage games have been widely used to derive plant location. But to our knowledge, a comparison of the two games has never been explored. In this paper, we set up a Weberian triangle model with duopolistic market to examine this issue. It is shown that if both firms regard their products as strategic substitutes, each firm's optimal location under the two-stage game as relative to the one-stage game is closer to (away from) the output market when its production function exhibits IRS (DRS). This result is reversed if the two products are strategic complements. Moreover, the equilibrium location under the two games are identical if each firm has a CRS production function. This outcome holds true regardless of whether the two products are strategic substitutes or complements.

Keywords: Weberian location; Duopolistic equilibrium.

^{*} Member of Academia Sinica; Research Fellow, Sun Yat-Sen Institute for Social Sciences and Philosophy, Academia Sinica; Professor of Economics, National Taiwan University

^{**} Research Fellow, Sun Yat-Sen Institute for Social Sciences and Philosophy, Academia Sinica; Professor of Economics, National Taiwan University (Received: January 8, 1996; Accepted: April 13, 1996)